

Message

---

**From:** Dev Bhalla [dev@indiaimportsandexports.com]  
**Sent:** 6/16/2020 11:03:28 PM  
**To:** Mindala Wilcox [mwilcox@cityofinglewood.org]; ibecproject [ibecproject@cityofinglewood.org]; Evangeline Lane [elane@cityofinglewood.org]  
**Subject:** To the Inglewood Planning Commission public hearing city of inglewood scheduled for June 17, 2020 IBEC

To the Inglewood Planning Commission

public hearing city of inglewood

scheduled for June 17, 2020

Dear All,

In reference to Item #5 under Public Hearing.

“promote the enjoyment and recreation of the public by providing access to the City’s residents in the form of spectator sports”

Please let us know what percentage of this “City’s residents,” specifically Inglewood’s residents will be able to afford the for profit tickets that often sell through third parties for hundreds of dollars? In the current planning commissions scenario the purchasing of a expensive ticket is required for “enjoyment and recreation.” The initial quote above implies something all residents can enjoy like a public park, free of cost. This is a special privilege that is being given to a private business by the city, buried in mountains of documents.

Has there been a study conducted to see what percentage of Inglewood residents will be able to afford to go to these basketball games? I am sure it will not be affordable for the majority of the residents who will of course be effected by the traffic, congestion, trash and increase in living expenses.

Why have I never been informed by the city of their intentions?

Why has the warehouse to the east of my building not been included in the redevelopment? Why have the businesses directly north of my building not been included? According to the project site aerial map they have deliberately drawn around them. What side deal has been cut?

Attachment No. 4 Zone Change and Zoning Code Amendment Findings

“All properties to be rezoned for consistency with the General Plan Land Use Element are owned by the City of Inglewood or the City of Inglewood as Successor Agency to the former Inglewood Redevelopment Agency (City as Successor Agency) and are currently vacant.”

Is the above amendment referring to my property to? If so, it is obviously not true.

Please clarify the plans for my building?

I will be calling in to participate in the hearing. If you don’t hear from me during the meeting you will know that yet another obstacle has been put in my way, not allowing me to be there due to the limitations of your technology.

Please confirm receipt of this email via reply.

Respectfully,

Dev Bhalla  
Owner of:  
3838 w. 102 st.  
Inglewood, CA  
310-770-9660