## Message

From: Lisa Trifiletti [/O=MEX09/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=91A8A6423A364B5F999A4CF39FAA9E84-LISA]

**Sent**: 3/6/2018 9:05:06 AM

To: Louis A. Atwell [latwell@cityofinglewood.org]; ppuglese@cityofinglewood.org; Perla Solis [/o=MEX09/ou=Exchange

Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=685f144a6c484087ba779d2f76f8315b-perla]; Omar

Pulido [/o=MEX09/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1a63b99bfc8d4fc986bf797c73a663e4-omar]; mwilcox@cityofinglewood.org;

fljackson@cityofinglewood.org

**Subject**: Fwd: Clippers Transportation Study Fee Proposal

Attachments: clippers.fee proposal.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Fyi. See breakdown below. More info on traffic scope as part of our reviews.

Lisa Trifiletti (310) 738-2099

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brian Boxer < BBoxer@esassoc.com > Date: March 6, 2018 at 8:52:12 AM PST

To: "Lisa Trifiletti (lisa@trifiletticonsulting.com)" < lisa@trifiletticonsulting.com>

Subject: FW: Clippers Transportation Study Fee Proposal

Detailed fee proposal from F&P.

Brian D. Boxer, AICP

D: 916.231.1270 | C: 916.761.2288 | O: 916.564.4500

bboxer@esassoc.com

From: Tom Gaul [mailto:T.Gaul@fehrandpeers.com]

**Sent:** Friday, March 2, 2018 10:28 AM

To: Brian Boxer < BBoxer@esassoc.com >; Christina Erwin < CErwin@esassoc.com >

**Subject:** Clippers Transportation Study Fee Proposal

Brian & Christina -

Attached please find our fee proposal. We worked (hard) to bring it in under \$1M.

A couple of points that could be made to help justify the fee to Lisa (or others):

- This includes analysis of 12 total transportation scenarios (existing; existing plus project for NBA, convention and non-event day; 2024 base; 2024 plus project for NBA, convention and non-event day; 2024 base with NFL; 2024 with NFL plus project; 2024 base with Forum concert; and 2024 with Forum plus project). With 3 analysis hours (weekday preevent, weekday post-event, weekend pre-event) for the NBA scenarios and 2 analysis hours (AM and PM) for the conference and non-event day scenarios. With 86 study intersections, this leads to over 3,000 individual intersection LOS calculations.
- The majority of these intersections would be analyzed using microsimulation not ICU.
- Plus VISSIM modeling for the site access task, neighborhood street impacts, parking, transit, etc. and the TMP.
- With the NFL game tremendously complicating the analysis.

Lisa might wonder why Srinath only proposed \$303,000. My reply to that would be that there is no hint in his proposal of multiple scenarios, NFL, microsimulation, parking, TMP, etc. (And he only suggested 45 intersections.)