

	HPSP EIR	DI & FH EIR
Land Use		
	The project would physically divide an established community.	The project would physically divide an established community.
	The project would conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.	The project would conflict with plans, policies, and regulations intended to avoid or mitigate environmental effects.
	The project would conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan.	The project would conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation.
		The project would have a substantial adverse impact on the existing character of the site or its vicinity.
Population, Housing, and Employment		
	The project would induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure).	The project would induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of roads or other infrastructure).
	The project would displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.	The project would displace substantial numbers of housing units or people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.
	The project would displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.	
Aesthetic Resources		
	The project would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.	The project would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.
	The project would substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway.	The project would substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, hillsides, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway.
	The project would create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area.	The project would create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area.
	The project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.	
Cultural Resources		
	The project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in 15064.5.	The project would create a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. *
	The project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource (as defined in Section 21083.2(g) of the California Public Resources Code).	The project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5.
	The project would directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontologic resource or site.	The project would directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 (3).
	The project would disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.	The project would disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.
Traffic and Circulation		
		The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit.
		The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including by not limited to level-of-service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways.
		The project would result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels, obstructions to flight, or a change in location, that results in substantial safety risks.
		Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses.
		Result in inadequate emergency access.

		Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bikeways, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.
	The intersection is operating at LOS F after the addition of project traffic and the project related increase in v/c ratio is 0.020 or more. <i>(The significance of the potential impacts of project related traffic at each study intersection was identified using criteria set forth in the 2004 Congestion Management Program for LA County, County of Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority, July 2004 manual. A significant transportation impact is determined based on change in the calculated v/c ratio of two percent (0.02) or more due to project-related traffic for an intersection operating at LOS F or worse (v/c > 1.00).)</i>	
Parking		
	The number of parking spaces required to accommodate Project activities exceeds the number of parking spaces provided.	
Air Quality		
	The project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.	The project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.
	The project would violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.	The project would violate any air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or project air quality violation, or result in cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the region is in non-attainment.
	The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors).	The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors).
	The project would expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.	The project would expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
	The project would create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.	The project would create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.
<i>Construction Phase Significance Criteria</i>		
	Daily construction emissions were to exceed SCAQMD construction emissions thresholds for VOC, NOx, CO, SOx, PM2.5, or PM10, as presented in Table IV.B-5.	
	Project-related fugitive dust and construction equipment combustion emissions cause an incremental increase in localized PM 2.5 or PM10 concentrations of 10.4 µg/m3, or cause a violation of NO2 or CO ambient air quality standards.	
	The project would generate significant emissions of toxic air contaminants (TACs).	
	The project would create an odor nuisance.	
<i>Operation Phase Significance Criteria</i>		
	Daily operational emissions were to exceed SCAQMD operational emissions thresholds for VOC, NOx, CO, SOx, PM2.5, or PM10, as presented in Table IV.B-6.	
	Project-related traffic causes CO concentrations at study intersections to violate the CAAQS for either the one- or eight-hour period. The CAAQS for the one- and eight-hour periods are 20 ppm and 9.0 ppm, respectively. If CO concentrations currently exceed the CAAQS, then an incremental increase of 1.0 ppm over "no project" conditions for the one-hour period would be considered a significant impact. An incremental increase of 0.45 ppm over the "no project" conditions for the eight-hour period would be considered significant.	
	The proposed project would generate significant emissions of TACs.	
	The proposed project would create an odor nuisance.	
	The proposed project would not be consistent with the AQMP.	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
		Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.

		Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.
Energy Resources		
		Use large amounts of energy or fuel, or consume energy or fuel in a wasteful manner: (a) During construction as the result of construction activities, or by resulting in the construction or expansion of energy infrastructure that would cause significant environmental effects, or (b) Following construction, during project operations, by using large amounts of energy or use energy for fuel in a wasteful manner either: Within buildings or other onsite operations (stationary source consumption), or As the result of vehicle trips associated with project site development (mobile source consumption).
Noise and Vibration		
	The project would expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan, noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.	The project would expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local (City of Inglewood) general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other affected agencies.
	The project would expose persons to or generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.	The project would expose persons to or generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.
	The project would result in substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.	The project would result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity or above levels existing without the project.
	The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.	The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.
	The project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport.	For a project located within an airport land use plan, or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, the project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.
	The project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels within the vicinity of a private airstrip.	For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip, the project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.
<i>Construction Phase Significance Criteria</i>		
	Construction activity would occur outside of the hours permitted by the City's noise ordinance (i.e., between the hours of 8:00pm and 7:00am), unless a permit has been obtained from the Permits and Licenses Committee of the City.	
	Construction activity increases ambient noise levels by five dBA or more.	
	Heavy-duty truck noise levels would increase by three decibels (CNEL) to or within the "normally unacceptable" or "clearly unacceptable" category (Table IV.G-7) or any five decibel or more increase in noise level.	
<i>Operations Phase Significance Criteria</i>		
	Mobile noise levels would increase by three decibels (CNEL) to or within the "normally unacceptable" or "clearly unacceptable" category (Table IV.G-7) or any five decibel or more increase in noise level.	
	The project would expose existing sensitive receptors to noise levels that exceed the Municipal Code standards. If existing noise levels exceed the noise standards, a significant impact would occur if project-related vehicular noise results in a three dBA increase.	
	Proposed sensitive receptors would be exposed to interior noise levels greater than 45 dBA.	
<i>Ground-borne Vibration Significance Criteria</i>		
	The project would expose buildings to the FRA building damage threshold level of 0.5 inches per second PPV.	
	The proposed project would exceed the FTA vibration impact criteria presented in Table IV.G-3.	
Hazards and Hazardous Materials		
	The project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	The project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.
	The project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.	The project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset or accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

	The project would emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or actually hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.	The project would emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within 0.25 mile of an existing or proposed school.
	The project would be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.	The project would be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.
	For a project located within an airport land use plan, or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, the project would result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.	The project would result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area for a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such plan has not been adopted, by within 2 miles or a public airport use airport or public use airport.
	For a project located within the vicinity of a private airport strip, the project would result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.	The project would be located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.
	The project would impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	The project would impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, and adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.
	The project would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands.	The project would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands.
Hydrology and Water Quality		
	The project would violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.	The project would violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.
	The project would substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted).	The project would substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted).
	The project would substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site.	The project would substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.
	The project would substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.	
	The project would otherwise substantially degrade water quality.	The project would otherwise substantially degrade water quality.
	The project would place housing within a 100-year flood plain as mapped on federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map.	The project would place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map.
	The project would place within a 100-year floor plain structures which would impede or redirect flood flows.	The project would place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows.
	The project would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, inquiry, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.	The project would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.
	The project would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, inquiry, or death involving inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow.	The project would cause inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow.
	The project would create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff.	
Geology, Soils, and Seismicity		
	The project would expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault; ii) Strong seismic ground shaking; iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction; iv) Landslides.	The project would expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: (a) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a know fault; (b) Strong seismic groundshaking; (c) Seismic-related ground failure (including liquefaction); and/or (d) Landslides.

	The project would result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil.	The project would result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil.
	The project would be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.	The project would be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.
	The project would be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property.	The project would be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code, creating substantial risks to life or property.
	The project would have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where systems are not available for the disposal of waste water.	The project would have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater.
Public Services		
<i>Police Services</i>		
	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered police protection facilities, the need for new or physically altered police protection facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for police protection services.	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered police facilities, need for new or physically altered police facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives.
<i>Fire Protection Services</i>		
	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered fire protection facilities, or need for new or physically altered fire protection facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives of the fire department.	The project would result in physical impacts associated with project-related provision of fire protection facilities.
<i>Public Schools/School Services</i>		
	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered school facilities.	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered school facilities, need for new or physically altered school facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objections.
	The project would result in the need for new or physically altered school facilities, the construction of which could cause the significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios or other performance objectives of the school district.	
<i>Parks and Recreation</i>		
	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered parks, or need for new or physically altered parks, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios or other performance objectives of the parks department.	
	The project would increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.	
	The project would include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.	
<i>Public Libraries</i>		
	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered library facilities, or need for new or physically altered library facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios or other performance objectives for library services.	The project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered library facilities, need for new or physically altered library facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives.
Utilities		
<i>Water and Water Supply</i>		

	If there were insufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or new or expanded entitlements were needed.	The project would not have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, and would require new or expanded entitlements.
	The project would require or result in the construction of new water facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause a significant environmental effect.	The project would result in the construction of new water facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.
Wastewater		
	The project would result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it does not have adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments.	The project would result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it does not have adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments.
	The project would require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.	The project would result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.
	The project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board.	The project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the RWQCB.
Stormwater Drainage		
		The project would result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.
Non-hazardous Solid Waste		
	The landfill serving the project did not have sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs.	The project would be served by a landfill without sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs.
	The project would not comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	The project would not comply with federal, state, or local statutes or regulations related to soil waste.
Energy Conservation <i>*(These thresholds are not concretely identified, but rather listed as potential inclusions in a discussion of the project's impacts in accordance with Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines.)</i>		
	The project's energy requirements and its energy use efficiencies by amount and fuel type for each stage of the project's life cycle including construction, operation, maintenance, and/or removal. If appropriate, the energy intensiveness of materials may be discussed.	
	The effects of the project on local and regional energy supplies and on requirements for additional capacity.	
	The effects of the project on peak and base period demands for electricity and other forms of energy.	
	The degree to which the project complies with existing energy standards.	
	The effects of the project on energy resources.	
	The project's projected transportation energy use requirements and its overall use of efficient transportation alternatives.	
Recreational Resources		
		The project would increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.
		The project would include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

*The significance of a historical resource is materially impaired when a project: (a) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its inclusion in, or eligi

bil

