## TABLE S-2
## SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.1 Aesthetics** | | | |
| 3.1-1: The Proposed Project could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings, or could conflict with the City's zoning and regulations governing scenic quality | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.1-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.1-2a**<br>The project applicant shall require construction contractors to ensure that all lighting related to construction activities are shielded or directed to avoid any direct illumination onto light-sensitive properties located outside of the Project Site. | LS |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.1-2b**<br>Prior to issuance of a building permit, the project applicant shall submit to the City a Lighting Design Plan, based on photometric data, that demonstrates that project-contributed lighting from LED lights, illuminated signs, or any other project lighting onto the residential properties identified as SR 1, SR 2, and SR 4 in the LDA lighting analysis report would not result in more than two foot-candles of lighting intensity or generate direct glare onto the property, or that an illuminated sign from the Proposed Project would produce a light intensity of greater than three foot-candles above ambient lighting on residentially zoned property. Where existing conditions exceed these levels, the Lighting Design Plan shall avoid exacerbating existing conditions, but need not further reduce light levels on light-sensitive properties.<br>Measures to ensure that the lighting and illuminated signage from Proposed Project would not exceed the identified thresholds may include, but are not limited to, relocating and or/shielding pole-or building-mounted LED lights; directing illuminated signage away from residential properties; implementing a screening material for parking garages or other structures to allow ventilation while reducing the amount of spill light; designing exterior lighting to confine illumination to the project site; restricting the operation of outdoor lighting to certain hour after events are completed; and/or providing structural and/or vegetative screening from sensitive uses. | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.1-2c**<br>The City shall require that the proposed hotel shall be prohibited from (1) using reflective glass that exceeds 50 percent of any building surface and on the bottom three floors, (2) using mirrored glass, (3) using black glass that exceeds 25 percent of any surface of any building, and (4) using metal building materials that exceed 50 percent of any street-facing surface of a building. | |
| 3.1-3: The Proposed Project could cast shadows on shadow-sensitive uses for more than three hours between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. PST on either the summer or winter solstice. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.1 Aesthetics (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.1-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other related cumulative development, could substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings, or conflict with the City's zoning and regulations governing scenic quality | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.1-5: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other related cumulative development, could cumulatively create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.2 Air Quality** | | | |
| 3.2-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would conflict with implementation of the applicable air quality plan. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.2-1**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.2-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in VOC and NOx emissions during construction, and a cumulatively considerable net increase in VOC, NOx, CO, PM10, and PM2.5 during operation of the Proposed Project. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.2-2**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.2-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.2-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors). | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.2 Air Quality (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.2-5: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, result in inconsistencies with implementation of applicable air quality plans. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.2-5**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.2-6: Construction and operation Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, would result in cumulative increases in short-term (construction) and long-term (operational) emissions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.2-6**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.2-7: Construction and operation Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, would contribute to a cumulative exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.2-8: Construction and operation Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could result in cumulative increases of other emissions (such as those leading to odors). | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.3 Biological Resources** | | | |
| 3.3-1: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service. | NI | None required. | NI |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

## TABLE S-2
## SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.3 Biological Resources (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.3-2: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.3-2**<br>The project applicant shall conduct tree removal activities required for construction of the Proposed Project outside of the resident or migratory bird and raptor breeding season (February 1 through August 31) where feasible. For construction activities or ground disturbing activities such as demolition, tree and vegetation removal, or grading that would occur between February 1 through August 31, the project applicant shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct preconstruction surveys not more than one week prior to the commencement of construction activities in suitable nesting habitat within the Project Site for nesting birds and raptors. This survey shall include areas located within 100 feet from construction to avoid indirect impacts to nesting birds. During the preconstruction survey, nests detected shall be mapped using global positioning system software, and species confirmed to be nesting or likely nesting will be determined.<br>If active nests for avian species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or California Fish and Game Code are found during the survey, the qualified biologist shall determine an appropriate buffer for avoiding the nest (where no work will occur) until the biologist is able to determine that the nest is no longer active. A minimum 100-foot no-work buffer shall be established around any active bird nest; however, the buffer distance may be adjusted by a qualified biologist depending on the nature of the work that is occurring in the vicinity of the nest, the known tolerance of the species to noises and vibrations, and/or the location of the nest. If, in the professional opinion of the qualified biologist, the Proposed Project would impact a nest, the biologist shall immediately inform the construction manager and work activities shall stop until the biologist delineates a suitable buffer distance and/or determines that the nest is no longer active. | LS |
| 3.3-3: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resource, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.3-3**<br>a) In order to ensure that all new trees planted at a 1:1 ratio as required by the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance are of sufficient size, quantity, and quality, the following shall be implemented:<br>• Prior to any onsite tree disturbance or removal of any protected tree, a tree permit shall be obtained from the City of Inglewood in accordance with the City of Inglewood Tree Preservation Ordinance (Inglewood Municipal Code Chapter 12, Article 32). The tree permit shall identify the appropriate size of tree to be replaced (i.e., 36-inch box tree).<br>• All replacement mitigation trees shall be monitored by a certified arborist annually for minimum of three years following the completion of construction and planting, respectively. Monitoring shall verify that all encroached and replacement trees are in good health at the end of the three-year monitoring period. Any encroached or replacement tree that dies within the three-year monitoring period shall be replaced, and the replacement tree shall be monitored annually for three years. Annual monitoring reports shall be prepared by a certified arborist and submitted to the City. The monitoring report shall depict the location of each encroachment and replacement mitigation tree, including a description of the health of each tree based on a visual assessment.<br>b) In order to ensure proper protection of trees to remain during Project construction, the following shall be implemented. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.3 Biological Resources (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.3-3 (cont.) | | • The Tree Protective Zone (TPZ) of protected trees to be retained and that are located within 25 feet from the grading limits, shall be enclosed with temporary fencing (e.g., free-standing chain-link, orange mesh drift fencing, post and wire, or equivalent). A smaller TPZ may be established in consultation with a certified arborist. The fencing shall be located at the limits of the TPZ and shall remain in place for the duration of construction activities in the area, or as determined by the City.<br>• Prune selected trees to provide necessary clearance during construction and to remove any defective limbs or other parts that may pose a failure risk. All pruning shall be completed (or supervised) by a certified arborist and adhere to the Tree Pruning Guidelines of the International Society of Arboriculture. Trenching shall be routed so as to minimize damage to roots of protected trees roots if feasible. Any required trenching within the TPZ should be accomplished by the use of hand tools, to the extent feasible, while under the direct supervision of a certified arborist. If roots larger than two-inches in diameter are encountered, the arborist shall provide recommendations for pruning or avoidance. Any major roots encountered should be conserved if feasible and treated as recommended by the arborist. If extensive disturbance to tree roots would occur such that tree health would be impacted as determined by the certified arborist, the tree shall be replaced at 1:1 per Mitigation Measure 3.3-3 (a) above.<br>• Any work conducted within the TPZ of a protected tree shall be monitored by a certified arborist. The monitoring arborist shall prescribe measures for minimizing or avoiding long-term impacts to the tree, such as selective pruning to minimize construction impacts.<br>• No storage of equipment, supplies, vehicles, or debris should be allowed within the TPZ of a protected tree. No dumping of construction wastewater, paint, stucco, concrete, or any other clean-up waste should occur within the TPZ. No temporary structures should be placed within the TPZ. | |
| 3.3-4: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other related cumulative projects, could interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.3-5: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other related cumulative projects, could conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

## TABLE S-2
## SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.4 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources** | | | |
| 3.4-1: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-1**<br>Retention of Qualified Archaeologist. Prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities associated with the Project, including demolition, trenching, grading, and utility installation, the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for archaeology (US Department of the Interior, 2008) to carry out all mitigation related to cultural resources.<br>a) **Monitoring and Mitigation Plan.** Prepare, design, and implement a monitoring and mitigation program for the Project. The Plan shall define pre-construction coordination, construction monitoring for excavations based on the activities and depth of disturbance planned for each portion of the Project Site, data recovery (including halting or diverting construction so that archaeological remains can be evaluated and recovered in a timely manner), artifact and feature treatment, procurement, and reporting. The Plan shall be prepared and approved prior to the issuance of the first grading permit.<br>b) **Cultural Resources Sensitivity Training.** The qualified archaeologist and Native American Monitor shall conduct construction worker archaeological resources sensitivity training at the Project kick-off meeting prior to the start of ground disturbing activities (including vegetation removal, pavement removal, etc.) and will present the Plan as outlined in (i), for all construction personnel conducting, supervising, or associated with demolition and ground disturbance, including utility work, for the Project. In the event construction crews are phased or rotated, additional training shall be conducted for new construction personnel working on ground-disturbing activities. Construction personnel shall be informed of the types of prehistoric and historic archaeological resources that may be encountered, and of the proper procedures to be enacted in the event of an inadvertent discovery of archaeological resources or human remains. Documentation shall be retained by the qualified archaeologist demonstrating that the appropriate construction personnel attended the training.<br>c) **Archaeological and Native American Monitoring.** The qualified archaeologist will oversee archaeological and Native American monitors who shall be retained to be present and work in tandem, monitoring during construction excavations such as grading, trenching, or any other excavation activity associated with the Project and as defined in the Monitoring and Mitigation Plan. If, after advanced notice, the Tribe declines, is unable, or does not respond to the notice, construction can proceed under supervision of the qualified archaeologist. The frequency of monitoring shall be based on the rate of excavation and grading activities, the materials being excavated, and the depth of excavation, and if found, the quantity and type of archaeological resources encountered. Full-time monitoring may be reduced to part-time inspections, or ceased entirely, if determined adequate by the qualified archaeologist and the Native American monitor.<br>d) In the event of the discovery of any archaeological materials during implementation of the Project, all work shall immediately cease within 50-feet of the discovery until it can be evaluated by the qualified archaeologist. Construction shall not resume until the qualified archaeologist has made a determination on the significance of the resource(s) and provided recommendations regarding the handling of the find. If the resource is determined to be significant, the qualified archaeologist will confer with the project applicant regarding recommendation for treatment and ultimate disposition of the resource(s). | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.4 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.4-1 (cont.) | | e) If it is determined that the discovered archaeological resource constitutes a historical resource or a unique archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA, avoidance and preservation in place is the preferred manner of mitigation. Preservation in place may be accomplished by, but is not limited to, avoidance, incorporating the resource into open space, capping, or deeding the site into a permanent conservation easement.<br>f) In the event that preservation in place is demonstrated to be infeasible and data recovery through excavation is the only feasible mitigation available, a Cultural Resources Treatment Plan shall be prepared and implemented by the qualified archaeologist in consultation with the project applicant, and appropriate Native American representatives (if the find is of Native American origin). The Cultural Resources Treatment Plan shall provide for the adequate recovery of the scientifically consequential information contained in the archaeological resource through laboratory processing and analysis of the artifacts. The Treatment Plan will further make recommendations for the ultimate curation of any archaeological materials, which shall be curated at a public, non-profit curation facility, university or museum with a research interest in the materials, if such an institution agrees to accept them. If resources are determined to be Native American in origin, they will first be offered to the Tribe for permanent curation, repatriation, or reburial, as directed by the Tribe. If no institution or Tribe accepts the archaeological material, then the material shall be donated to a local school or historical society in the area for educational purposes.<br>g) If the resource is identified as a Native American, the qualified archaeologist and project applicant shall consult with appropriate Native American representatives, as identified through the AB 52 consultation process in determining treatment for prehistoric or Native American resources to ensure cultural values ascribed to the resource, beyond that which is scientifically important, are considered, to the extent feasible.<br>h) Prepare a final monitoring and mitigation report for submittal to the applicant, and the SCCIC, in order to document the results of the archaeological and Native American monitoring. If there are significant discoveries, artifact and feature analysis and final disposition shall be included with the final report which will be submitted to the SCCIC and the applicant. The final monitoring report shall be submitted to the applicant within 90 days of completion of excavation and other ground disturbing activities that require monitoring. | |
| 3.4-2: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-2**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.4 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.4-3: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a Tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American Tribe, and that is:<br>i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1 (k).<br>ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-3**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1. | LS |
| 3.4-4: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to disturb human remains including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-4**<br>**Inadvertent Discovery of Human Remains.** In the event of the unanticipated discovery of human remains during excavation or other ground disturbance related to the Project, all work shall immediately cease within 100 feet of the discovery and the County Coroner shall be contacted in accordance with PRC section 5097.98 and Health and Safety Code section 7050.5. The project applicant shall also be notified. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are Native American, the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be notified in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 7050.5, subdivision (c), and PRC section 5097.98 (as amended by AB 2641). The NAHC shall designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) for the remains per PRC Section 5097.98. Until the landowner has conferred with the MLD, the project applicant shall ensure that a 50-foot radius around where the discovery occurred is not disturbed by further activity, is adequately protected according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards or practices, and that further activities take into account the possibility of multiple burials. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.4 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.4-5: Construction of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with construction of other cumulative projects, could result in cumulatively considerable impacts to historical resources. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-5**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1. | LS |
| 3.4-6: Construction of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with construction of other cumulative development, could have the potential to contribute to cumulative impacts on archaeological resources. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-6**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1. | LS |
| 3.4-7: Construction of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with construction of other cumulative development, could have the potential to contribute to cumulative impacts on the significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-7**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-1. | LS |
| 3.4-8: Construction of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with construction of other cumulative development, could have the potential to contribute to cumulative impacts on human remains including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.4-8**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.4-4. | LS |
| **3.5 Energy Demand and Conservation** | | | |
| 3.5-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could cause wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.5-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could have the potential to conflict with or obstruct a State or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.5 Energy Demand and Conservation (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.5-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could cause wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during construction or operation of the Proposed Project. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.5-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could conflict with or obstruct a State or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.6 Geology and Soils** | | | |
| 3.6-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could have the potential to result in the substantial erosion or the loss of topsoil. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.6-1**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a). | LS |
| 3.6-2: Construction of the Proposed Project could have the potential to directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.6-2**<br>a) A qualified paleontologist meeting the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP) Standards (SVP, 2010) shall be retained by the project applicant and approved by the City prior to the approval of grading permits. The qualified paleontologist shall:<br>i. Prepare, design, and implement a monitoring and mitigation program for the Project consistent with Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Guidelines. The Plan shall define pre-construction coordination, construction monitoring for excavations based on the activities and depth of disturbance planned for each portion of the Project Site, data recovery (including halting or diverting construction so that fossil remains can be salvaged in a timely manner), fossil treatment, procurement, and reporting. The Plan monitoring and mitigation program shall be prepared and approved by the City prior to the issuance of the first grading permit. If the qualified paleontologist determines that the Project-related grading and excavation activity will not affect Older Quaternary Alluvium, then no further mitigation is required.<br>ii. Conduct construction worker paleontological resources sensitivity training at the Project kick-off meeting prior to the start of ground disturbing activities (including vegetation removal, pavement removal, etc.) and will present the Plan as outlined in (a)i.). In the event construction crews are phased or rotated, additional training shall be conducted for new construction personnel working on ground-disturbing activities. The training session shall provide instruction on the recognition of the types of paleontological resources that could be encountered within the Project Site and the procedures to be followed if they are found. Documentation shall be retained by the qualified paleontologist demonstrating that the appropriate construction personnel attended the training. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.6 Geology and Soils** | | | |
| 3.6-2 (cont.) | | iii. Direct the performance of paleontological resources monitoring by a qualified paleontological monitor (meeting the standards of the SVP, 2010). Paleontological resources monitoring shall be conducted pursuant to the monitoring and mitigation program developed under (i), above. Monitoring activities may be altered or ceased if determined adequate by the qualified paleontologist. Monitors shall have the authority to, and shall temporarily halt or divert work away from exposed fossils or potential fossils, and establish a 50-foot radius temporarily halting work around the find. Monitors shall prepare daily logs detailing the types of ground disturbing activities and soils observed, and any discoveries.<br>iv. If fossils are encountered, determine their significance, and, if significant, supervise their collection for curation. Any fossils collected during Project-related excavations, and determined to be significant by the qualified paleontologist, shall be prepared to the point of identification and curated into an accredited repository with retrievable storage.<br>v. Prepare a final monitoring and mitigation report for submittal to the City in order to document the results of the paleontological monitoring. If there are significant discoveries, fossil locality information and final disposition shall be included with the final report which will be submitted to the appropriate repository and the City. The final monitoring report shall be submitted to the City within 90 days of completion of excavation and other ground disturbing activities that could affect Older Quaternary Alluvium. | |
| 3.6-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project in conjunction with other cumulative development projects, could result in substantial erosion or loss of topsoil. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.6-3**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a). | LS |
| 3.6-4: Construction of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development projects, could contribute to cumulative impacts on paleontological resources. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.6-4**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.6-2. | LS |
| **3.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions** | | | |
| 3.7-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could generate "net new" GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that could have a significant impact on the environment. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.7-1a**<br>TBD | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

## TABLE S-2
## SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.7-1 (cont.) | | **Mitigation Measure 3.7-1b**<br>**Annual Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Verification Report.** The project applicant shall prepare an Annual GHG Verification Report. The Report shall quantify Project-related GHG emissions for the previous year using appropriate emissions factors for that year, and shall determine any quantity of Carbon Offsets required to achieve no new GHG emissions for Project for the reporting year. The Report shall be prepared by the project applicant and verified by an independent accredited verification entity by the second quarter of each year following the Proposed Project's construction or operations. The Report shall be submitted to and approved by the City. GHG offsets for the previous year, if required, shall be in place by the end of each reporting year, along with documentation of their purchase to be provided to the City.<br>The sequence of GHG reporting, verification and validation activities shall be as follows:<br>**Year Prior to Initial Operation**<br>1. Finalize total construction emissions based on method described in the GHG Reduction Plan and annualize emissions over a 30-year project life, consistent with the analysis in this EIR.<br>2. Estimate GHG emissions for annual operations based on the final project design and construction using the methods described in the GHG Reduction Plan and using updated applicable emissions factors.<br>3. Sum estimated annual construction and operational GHG emissions for Year 1 operations, and subtract baseline emissions to determine reductions needed to achieve "no net new" emissions.<br>4. Identify and quantify specific on-site and off-site mitigation measures as needed to achieve "no net new" emissions.<br>5. Submit GHG Reduction Plan to the City and SCAQMD for review and approval.<br>**Annual Reporting Following Each Year of Operation**<br>1. Document Implementation of GHG Reduction Plan over the previous year.<br>2. Estimate GHG emissions for previous year of operations based on operational data and the methods described in the GHG Reduction Plan, using updated applicable emissions factors.<br>3. Identify net new project emissions (actual emissions minus baseline emissions). If needed, identify the quantity of Carbon Offsets to achieve "no net new" emissions for the previous year. For any over-mitigation, apply emission reduction credit to the next reporting year's mitigation requirement.<br>4. Refine the GHG Reduction Plan as needed to maintain total Project emissions below the "no net new" threshold of significance over the next reporting year, pending new technology and future mitigation options.<br>5. Prepare and submit GHG Reduction Report to the City and SCAQMD (by end of Q3).<br>6. Submit verification of purchase of Carbon Offsets applied to previous year (by end of Q4). | |
| 3.7-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could have the potential to be inconsistent with applicable plans, policies and regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.7-2**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.7-1(a) and (b). | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

## TABLE S-2
## SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials** | | | |
| 3.8-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, could have the potential to create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.8-4**<br>Prior to initiating any ground disturbing activities on the Project Site, the project applicant shall prepare a Soil Management Plan that is submitted and approved by the Los Angeles County Health Hazardous Materials Division (HHMD). The Soil Management Plan shall be prepared by a Registered Environmental Assessor (REA) or other qualified expert, and shall address the findings of the two EKI technical memoranda dated June 28, 2019, and/or subsequent relevant studies.<br>During construction, the contractor shall implement the Soil Management Plan. If unidentified or suspected contaminated soil or groundwater evidenced by stained soil, noxious odors, or other factors, is encountered during site preparation or construction activities on any portion of the Project Site, work shall stop in the area of potential contamination. Upon discovery of suspect soils or groundwater, the contractor shall notify the HHMD and retain an REA or qualified professional to collect soil samples to confirm the type and extent of contamination that may be present.<br>If contamination is confirmed to be present, any further ground disturbing activities within areas of identified or suspected contamination shall be conducted according to a site specific health and safety plan, prepared by a California state licensed professional. The contractor shall follow all procedural direction given by HHMD and in accordance with the Soil Management Plan to ensure that suspect soils are isolated, protected from runoff, and disposed of in accordance with transport laws and the requirements of the licensed receiving facility.<br>If contaminated soil or groundwater is encountered and identified constituents exceed human health risk levels, ground disturbing activities shall not recommence within the contaminated areas until remediation is complete and a "no further action" letter is obtained from the appropriate regulatory agency. The project applicant shall submit the "no further action" letter to the City prior to resumption of any ground disturbing activity on the relevant portion of the Project Site. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.8-5: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would be located within an airport land use plan area and could result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area or could create a hazard to navigable airspace and/or operations at a public airport. | PS | TBD. | LS |
| 3.8-6: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. | | | |
| 3.8-7: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-8: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-9: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.8-10: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, could be located on sites which are included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-11: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, would be located within an airport land use plan area and could cumulatively result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area, or could create a hazard to navigable airspace and/or operations at a public airport. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.8-12: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects, could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. | | | |
| **3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality** | | | |
| 3.9-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could have the potential to violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality, or conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a)**<br>The project applicant shall comply with the MS4 permit regulations, NPDES General Construction Permit, Inglewood Municipal Code regulations, the County's LID Standards Manual, and the USGBC's LEED program. A LID Report and SWPPP shall be prepared to the satisfaction of the City and Los Angeles RWQCB to ensure the prevention of substantial water quality degradation during construction and operation of the Proposed Project. These plans shall be approved by the City and Los Angeles RWQCB to confirm that these permit and regulatory requirements have been satisfied before construction commences on the site.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(b)**<br>Operation of the Proposed Project shall include periodic sweeping to remove oil, grease, and debris from parking lots of 25 spaces or more. Such sweeping shall occur not less than weekly. | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.9-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin, or conflict with or obstruct implementation of sustainable groundwater management plan. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.9-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could have the potential to substantially alter the existing drainage patterns of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which has the potential to: result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or impede or redirect flow. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.9-3**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a) and 3.9-1(b). | LS |
| 3.9-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development projects within the Dominguez Channel Watershed, would have the potentially to cumulatively violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality or conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.9-4**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a) and 3.9-1(b). | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.9-5: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in combination with related cumulative projects within areas served by the WCGB and Central Basin groundwater basins, could cumulatively decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin, or conflict with or obstruct implementation of sustainable groundwater management plan. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.9-6: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative projects in the Dominquez Channel Watershed, could have the potential to cumulatively alter the drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or impede or redirect flow. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.9-6**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a) and 3.9-1(b). | LS |
| **3.10 Land Use and Planning** | | | |
| 3.10-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could physically divide an established community. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.10-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.10 Land Use and Planning (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.10-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could physically divide an established community. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.10-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.11 Noise and Vibration** | | | |
| 3.11-1: Construction and operation Proposed Project would result in generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Proposed Project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-1(a)**<br>Prior to the issuance of any building permit for each phase of project development, the project applicant shall develop a Construction Noise Reduction Plan in coordination with an acoustical consultant and the project construction contractor, and shall submit the Plan to the City Chief Building Official for approval. The Plan shall include the following elements:<br>Measures and controls shall be identified based on project-specific final design plans, and may include, but are not limited to, some or all of the following:<br>• Buffer distances and types of equipment selected to minimize noise impacts at nearby receptors in order to minimize daytime construction noise and to ensure that nighttime construction does not result in noise levels in excess of 5 dBA over ambient conditions.<br>• Haul routes that affect the fewest number of people shall be selected and subject to preapproval by the City.<br>• Construction contractors shall utilize equipment and trucks equipped with the best available noise control techniques, such as improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures and acoustically-attenuating shields or shrouds, wherever feasible.<br>• Impact tools (i.e., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for project construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. Where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used to lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets shall be | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | used on impact tools, where feasible, in order to achieve a further reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter procedures shall be used, such as drills rather than impact equipment, whenever feasible.<br><br>• Stationary noise sources (e.g., generators) shall be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or other measures to the extent feasible. After the assembly of the steel frame and base levels of the arena, electrical power would be available onsite and generator set use would no longer be required. If pole power is available prior to the completion of the steel frame assembly and base levels, electrical equipment would utilize this instead of generator sets. If stationary construction equipment such as diesel- or gasoline-powered generators, must be operated continuously, such equipment must be located at least 100 feet from sensitive land uses (e.g., residences, schools, childcare centers, hospitals, parks, or similar uses), whenever possible.<br><br>• Use of "quiet" pile driving technology (such as auger displacement installation), where feasible in consideration of geotechnical and structural requirements and conditions.<br><br>• Designate a Community Affairs Liaison and conspicuously post this person's number around the project site, in adjacent public spaces, and in construction notifications. The Community Affairs Liaison shall be responsible for responding to any local complaints about construction activities. This Community Affairs Liaison shall receive all public complaints about construction noise disturbances and be responsible for determining the cause of the complaint and implementation of feasible measures to be taken to alleviate the problem. The Community Affairs Liaison shall have the authority to halt noise- or vibration-generating activity if necessary to protect public health and safety.<br><br>• Adjacent noise-sensitive residents and commercial uses (i.e., educational, religious, transient lodging) within 500 feet of demolition and pile driving activity shall be notified of the construction schedule, as well as the name and contact information of the project Community Relations Liaison. | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-1(b)**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b) | |
| 3.11-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would generate excessive groundborne vibration levels. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-2**<br>a) To address potential structural damage impacts, the operation of construction equipment that generates high levels of vibration, such as large bulldozers/drill rigs and loaded trucks, shall occur no nearer than 12 feet and 11 feet, respectively, from neighboring structures, if feasible.<br>b) If large bulldozers or loaded trucks are required to operate within 12 and 11 feet, respectively, of existing structures, implement a vibration, crack, and line and grade monitoring program at existing buildings located within 12 feet and 10 feet of demolition/construction activities, respectively. The following elements shall be included in this program:<br>i. Pre-Demolition and Construction: | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1. Photos of current conditions shall be included as part of the crack survey that the construction contractor will undertake. This includes photos of existing cracks and other material conditions present on or at the surveyed buildings. Images of interior conditions shall be included if possible. Photos in the report shall be labeled in detail and dated.<br>2. The construction contractors shall install crack gauges on cracks in the walls of the buildings to measure changes in existing cracks during project activities. Crack gauges shall be installed on multiple representative cracks, particularly on sides of the building facing the project.<br>3. The construction contractor shall determine the number and placement of vibration receptors at the affected buildings in consultation with a qualified architect. The number of units and their locations shall take into account proposed demolition and construction activities so that adequate measurements can be taken illustrating vibration levels during the course of the project, and if/when levels exceed the established threshold.<br>4. A line and grade pre-construction survey at the affected buildings shall be conducted. | |
| | | ii. During Demolition and Construction:<br>1. The construction contractor shall regularly inspect and photograph crack gauges, maintaining records of these inspections to be included in post-construction reporting. Gauges shall be inspected every two weeks, or more frequently during periods of active project actions in close proximity to crack monitors.<br>2. The construction contractor shall collect vibration data from receptors and report vibration levels to the City Chief Building Official on a monthly basis. The reports shall include annotations regarding project activities as necessary to explain changes in vibration levels, along with proposed corrective actions to avoid vibration levels approaching or exceeding the established threshold. | |
| | | iii. Post-Construction<br>1. The applicant (and its construction contractor) shall provide a report to the City Chief Building Official regarding crack and vibration monitoring conducted during demolition and construction. In addition to a narrative summary of the monitoring activities and their findings, this report shall include photographs illustrating the post-construction state of cracks and material conditions that were presented in the pre-construction assessment report, along with images of other relevant conditions showing the impact, or lack of impact, of project activities. The photographs shall sufficiently illustrate damage, if any, caused by the project and/or show how the project did not cause physical damage to the buildings. The report shall include annotated analysis of vibration data related to project activities, as well as summarize efforts undertaken to avoid vibration impacts. Finally, a post-construction line and grade survey shall also be included in this report.<br>2. The project applicant (and its construction contractor) shall be responsible for repairs from damage to buildings if damage is caused by vibration or movement during the demolition and/or construction activities. Repairs may be necessary to address, for example, cracks that expanded as a result of the project, physical damage visible in post-construction assessment, or holes or connection points that were needed for shoring or stabilization. Repairs shall be directly related to project impacts and will not apply to general rehabilitation or restoration activities of the buildings. | |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | c) To reduce potential construction vibration impacts regarding human annoyance, the applicant shall designate a construction relations officer to serve as a liaison with adjacent vibration-sensitive receptors. The liaison shall be responsible for responding to concerns regarding construction vibration within 24 hours of receiving a complaint. The liaison shall ensure that steps will be taken to reduce construction vibration levels as deemed appropriate and safe by the on-site construction manager. Such steps could include the use of vibration absorbing barriers, substituting lower vibration generating equipment or activity, rescheduling vibration-generating construction activity, or other potential adjustments to the construction program to reduce vibration impacts at the adjacent vibration-sensitive receptors. | |
| 3.11-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project is located within the Planning Boundary/Airport Influence Area for LAX as designated within the airport land use plan and could expose people residing or working in the region surrounding the Project Site to excessive noise levels. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.11-4: The Proposed Project, in conjunction with the HPSP Adjusted Baseline projects and other cumulative development, would result in cumulative temporary or permanent increases in ambient noise levels. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-4(a)**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.11-1(a). | SU |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-4(b)**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.11-1(b) | |
| 3.11-5: The Proposed Project, in conjunction with the HPSP Adjusted Baseline projects and other cumulative development, could generate excessive groundborne vibration. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.11-5**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.11-2(a). | SU |
| Impact 3.11-6: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development, could expose people residing or working in the region surrounding the Project Site to excessive noise levels from airport noise. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.12 Population, Employment, and Housing** | | | |
| 3.12-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure) | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.12-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing units necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.12-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could contribute to cumulative substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads and other infrastructure). | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.12-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, would not displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing units necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.13 Public Services** | | | |
| 3.13-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered facilities for the provision of fire protection and emergency medical services, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.13 Public Services (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.13-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of or need for new or physically altered facilities for the provision of fire protection and emergency medical services, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for fire protection. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of or need for new or physically altered facilities for police protection services, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable response times or other performance objectives for police protection. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-4: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could contribute to cumulative substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of or need for new or physically altered facilities for police protection services, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable response times or other performance objectives for police protection. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.13 Public Services (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.13-5: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the need for or provision of new or physically altered parks or recreational facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios or other performance objectives for parks or recreational facilities. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-6: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of a facility would occur or be accelerated. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-7: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-8: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could contribute to cumulative substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the need for or provision of new or physically altered parks or recreational facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios or other performance objectives for parks or recreational facilities. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.13 Public Services (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.13-9: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could contribute to the increased use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-10: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-11: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the need for or provision of new or physically altered schools, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.13-12: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with related cumulative projects, could contribute to cumulative substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the need for or provision of new or physically altered schools, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for schools. | LS | None required. | NA |
| **3.14 Transportation and Circulation** | | | |
| 3.14-1: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts at intersections under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | ***Mitigation Measure 3.14-1***<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b)* | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| **3.14 Transportation and Circulation (cont.)** | | | |
| 3.14-2: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts at intersections under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-2a**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement an Event Transportation Management Plan (TMP). The Event TMP shall address the issues set forth below, and shall achieve the identified standards for each of these issues:<br>TBD | SU |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-2b**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program. The TDM Program shall include, at a minimum, those items included in the Project Applicant's AB 987 application. The TDM Program shall include strategies, incentives and tools to provide opportunities for non-event employees and patrons, as well as event attendees and employees to reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips, and to use other modes of transportation besides automobile to travel to basketball games and other events hosted at the IBEC Project. The TDM Program shall include: | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-2c**<br>TBD | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-2d**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-2e**<br>TBD. | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-2f**<br>TBD | |
| 3.14-3: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts at intersections under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3a**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3b**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3c**<br>TBD | SU |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3d**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3e**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3f**<br>TBD | |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3g**<br>TBD | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3h**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3i**<br>TBD. | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3j**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3k**<br>TBD. | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-3l**<br>TBD.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3m**<br>TBD.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-3n**<br>TBD | |
| 3.14-4: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-4**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | SU |
| 3.14-5: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 5**<br>The significant street segment impact on Yukon Avenue is based on the potential for the Project to add one or more daily trips, considered significant under the City of Inglewood's impact criteria. The project's ancillary land uses would increase daily traffic on this segment of Yukon Avenue by approximately 6 percent. There are no mitigation measures other than closure that would fully eliminate the potential for even a single Project trip to be added to this street segment. Given its importance in the hierarchy of streets within the city, and the fact that it serves many adjacent land uses as well as through traffic, full-time or temporary closure is not feasible, Therefore, the impact on Yukon Avenue is considered significant and unavoidable.<br>The significant street impact on 109th Street is related to a 7 percent increase in daily trips, exceeding the daily volume to over 3,000 vehicles per day. Implementation of the Event Traffic Management Plan, which includes a neighborhood protection plan, is proposed as mitigation for this impact. The Event TMP is included as Appendix K.4. With mitigation, this impact would be considered less than significant. | SU |
| 3.14-6: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 6**<br>The significant street segment impact on Yukon Avenue is based on the potential for the Project to add one or more daily trips, considered significant under the City of Inglewood's impact criteria. The project's ancillary land | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| impacts on neighborhood streets under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | | uses would increase daily traffic on this segment of Yukon Avenue by approximately 6 percent. There are no mitigation measures other than closure that would fully eliminate the potential for even a single Project trip to be added to this street segment. Given its importance in the hierarchy of streets within the city, and the fact that it serves many adjacent land uses as well as through traffic, full-time or temporary closure is not feasible, Therefore, the impact on Yukon Avenue is considered significant and unavoidable.<br>The significant street impact on 109th Street is related to a 7 percent increase in daily trips, exceeding the daily volume to over 3,000 vehicles per day. Implementation of the Event Traffic Management Plan, which includes a neighborhood protection plan, is proposed as mitigation for this impact. The Event TMP is included as Appendix K.4. With mitigation, this impact would be considered less than significant. | |
| 3.14-7: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-8: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-8**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | SU |
| 3.14-9: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under Adjusted Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 9a**<br>Implement mitigation measure 3.14 3h to widen the I 105 westbound off-ramp at Crenshaw Boulevard to consist of one left, one left/through, and two right-turn lanes.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-9b**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | SU |
| 3.14-10: The Proposed Project would generate work VMT exceeding (i.e., higher than) a level of 15 percent below existing regional daily work VMT per employee; or the retail components of the project that are not local serving would cause a net increase in daily VMT; or the hotel component of the project would cause a net increase in total daily VMT; or the event component of the project would cause a net increase in total daily VMT. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 10**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement the trip reduction measures included in the Proposed Project Transportation Demand Management Program described in Mitigation Measure 3.14 2b. | SU |
| 3.14-11: The Proposed Project would adversely affect public transit operations or fail to adequately provide access to transit. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-11a**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement Mitigation Measures 3.14-2a (Traffic Management Plan), 3.14-2b (Transportation Demand Management Program), and TBD.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-11b**<br>TBD. | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.14-12: The Proposed Project would adversely affect existing or planned bicycle facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by bicycle. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-13: The Proposed Project would adversely affect existing or planned pedestrian facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by pedestrians. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 13**<br>The Project Applicant shall widen the east leg crosswalk across Century Boulevard at Prairie Avenue to 20 feet. This mitigation measure would not be required if the West Century Boulevard Pedestrian Bridge Project Variant is constructed. | LS |
| 3.14-14: The Proposed Project would result in inadequate emergency access. | | | |
| 3.14-15: The Proposed Project would have a significant impact on Congestion Management Program freeway or arterial monitoring locations during the weekday AM or PM peak hours. | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-15**<br>*TBD* | |
| 3.14-16: The proposed project would substantially affect circulation for a substantial duration during construction. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-16**<br>Before issuance of grading permits for any phase of the project site, the project applicant shall prepare a detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan that will be subject to review and approval by the City Department of Public Works, in consultation with affected transit providers and local emergency service providers. The plan shall ensure that acceptable operating conditions on local roadways are maintained. At a minimum, the plan shall include:<br>• The number of truck trips, time, and day of street closures<br>• Time of day of arrival and departure of trucks<br>• Limitations on the size and type of trucks, provision of a staging area with a limitation on the number of trucks that can be waiting<br>• Provision of a truck circulation pattern<br>• Preparation of worksite traffic control plan(s) for lane and/or sidewalk closures<br>• Identification of detour routes and signing plan for street closures<br>• Provision of driveway access plan so that safe vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle movements are maintained (e.g., steel plates, minimum distances of open trenches, and private vehicle pick up and drop off areas)<br>• Maintain safe and efficient access routes for emergency vehicles and transit<br>• Manual traffic control when necessary<br>• Proper advance warning and posted signage concerning street closures | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | • Provisions for pedestrian and bicycle safety<br>• Identification of locations for construction worker parking.<br>A copy of the construction traffic management plan shall be submitted to local emergency response agencies and transit providers, and these agencies shall be notified at least 30 days before the commencement of construction that would partially or fully obstruct roadways. | |
| 3.14-17: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts at intersections under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-17a**<br>The Project Applicant shall restripe the eastbound and westbound approaches of 104th Street to Prairie Avenue, through the removal of on-street parking, to consist of a dedicated left turn lane and a through-right lane.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-17b**<br>TBD | LS |
| 3.14-18: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts at intersections under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-18a**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2a (Implement an Event TMP)<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18b**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2b (Implement the IBEC Project Transportation Demand Management Program)<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18c**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18d**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18e**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18f**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18g**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18h**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-18i**<br>TBD | SU |
| 3.14-19: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts at intersections under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-19a**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 2a (Implement Event TMP).<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-19b**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2b (Implement TDM Program). | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-19c**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-19d**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-19e**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-19f**<br>TBD | |
| | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 19g**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19h**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19i**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19j**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19k**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19l**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19m**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 19n**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-19o**<br>TBD | |
| 3.14-20: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under cumulative conditions. | S | None available. | SU |
| 3.14-21: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-21a**<br>The significant street impact on 109th Street is related to a 6% increase in daily trips, exceeding the daily volume to over 3,000 vehicles per day. Implementation of the Event Traffic Management Plan, which includes a | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | neighborhood protection plan, is proposed as mitigation for this impact. The Event TMP is included as Appendix K.4.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-21b**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | |
| 3.14-22: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-22a**<br>The significant street impacts on 109th Street and on Flower Street are related to a 6% increase in daily trips, exceeding the daily volume to over 3,000 vehicles per day. Implementation of the Event Traffic Management Plan, which includes a neighborhood protection plan, is proposed as mitigation for these impacts. The Event TMP is included as Appendix K.4.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-22b**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.14-23: Implementation of the Proposed Project's ancillary land uses would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under cumulative conditions. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-24: Daytime events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-24**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.14-25: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-25a**<br>Implement mitigation measure 3.14-3h to widen the I-105 westbound off-ramp at Crenshaw Boulevard to consist of one left, one left/through, and two right-turn lanes.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-25b**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b). | SU |
| 3.14-26: The Proposed Project would have an impact on cumulative VMT if the office components of the project would generate work VMT exceeding (i.e., higher than) a level of 15 percent below existing regional daily work VMT per employee; or the retail components of the project that are not local serving would cause a net increase in daily VMT; or the hotel component of the project would cause a net increase in total daily VMT; or the event component of the project would cause a net increase in total daily VMT. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 26**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 2b. | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.14-27: The Proposed Project would adversely affect public transit operations or fail to adequately provide access to transit under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-27a**<br>Implement Mitigation Measures 3.14-2a (Traffic Management Plan), 3.14-2b (Transportation Demand Management Program), and TBD.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-27b**<br>Implement Mitigation Measures 3.14-11b | SU and LS |
| 3.14-28: The Proposed Project would adversely affect existing or planned bicycle facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by bicycle under cumulative conditions. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-29: The Proposed Project would adversely affect existing or planned pedestrian facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by pedestrians under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 29**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 13 to widen the east leg crosswalk across Century Boulevard at Prairie Avenue to 20 feet. | LS |
| 3.14-30: The Proposed Project would result in inadequate emergency access under cumulative conditions. | | | |
| 3.14-31: The Proposed Project would have a significant impact on Congestion Management Program freeway or arterial monitoring locations during the weekday AM or PM peak hours under cumulative conditions. | | TBD | |
| 3.14-32: The proposed project would substantially affect circulation for a substantial duration during construction under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 32**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-16, Construction Traffic Management Plan. | LS |
| 3.14-33: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause significant impacts at intersections under Baseline conditions. | | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-33a**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-33b**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-33c**<br>ITBD | SU |
| 3.14-34: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-34** | LS |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under Baseline conditions. | | Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-5, the Event Traffic Management Plan including a neighborhood protection plan, as mitigation for the impacts on 109th Street and Flower Street. The Event TMP is included as Appendix K.4. | |
| 3.14-35: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with a major concert at The Forum, would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under Baseline conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-35**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | SU |
| 3.14-36: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause a net increase in total daily VMT. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 36**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 2b. | SU |
| 3.14-37: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect public transit operations or fail to adequately provide access to transit. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 37a**<br>Implement Mitigation Measures 3.14 2a (Traffic Management Plan), 3.14 2b (Transportation Demand Management Program), and the intersection improvements in Mitigation Measures 3.14 2 and 3.14 3.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 37b**<br>TBD | SU |
| 3.14-38: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect existing or planned bicycle facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by bicycle. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-39: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect existing or planned pedestrian facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by pedestrians. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 39**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 13 to widen the east leg crosswalk across Century Boulevard at Prairie Avenue to 20 feet. | LS |
| 3.14-40: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would result in inadequate emergency access. | | | |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.14-41: The proposed project would substantially affect circulation for a substantial duration during construction during major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 41**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-16, Construction Traffic Management Plan. | LS |
| 3.14-42: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause significant impacts at intersections under Cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-42a**<br>TBD<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14-42b**<br>TBD | SU |
| 3.14-43: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause significant impacts on neighborhood streets under Cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-43**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-5, the Event Traffic Management Plan including a neighborhood protection plan, as mitigation for the impacts on 109th Street and Flower Street. | SU |
| 3.14-44: Major events at the Proposed Project's Arena, when operating concurrently with a major concert at The Forum, would cause significant impacts on freeway facilities under Cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14-44**<br>*Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-2(b).* | SU |
| 3.14-45: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would cause a net increase in total daily VMT under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 45**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 2b. | SU |
| 3.14-46: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect public transit operations or fail to adequately provide access to transit under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 46a**<br>Implement Mitigation Measures 3.14 2a (Traffic Management Plan), 3.14 2b (Transportation Demand Management Program), and TBD.<br>**Mitigation Measure 3.14 46b**<br>TBD | SU |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.14-47: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect existing or planned bicycle facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by bicycle under cumulative conditions. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.14-48: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would adversely affect existing or planned pedestrian facilities; or fail to adequately provide for access by pedestrians under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 48**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.14 13 to widen the east leg crosswalk across Century Boulevard at Prairie Avenue to 20 feet. | LS |
| 3.14-49: Major events at the Proposed Project, when operating concurrently with major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium, would result in inadequate emergency access under cumulative conditions. | | | |
| 3.14-50: The proposed project would substantially affect circulation for a substantial duration during construction during major events at The Forum and/or the NFL Stadium under cumulative conditions. | S | **Mitigation Measure 3.14 50**<br>The Project Applicant shall implement Mitigation Measure 3.14-16, Construction Traffic Management Plan. | LS |
| **3.15 Utilities and Service Systems** | | | |
| 3.15-1: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-2: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project could result in insufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry, and multiple dry years. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.15-3: Construction and operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative development within the GSWC Southwest System, could require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-4: Operation of the Proposed Project, in conjunction with other cumulative future water demands within GSWC's Southwest System, could result in insufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry, and multiple dry years. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-5: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-6: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in a determination by the LACSD, which would serve the project, that it does not have adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the LACSD's existing commitments. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-7: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other development, would not cumulatively exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-8: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other development, would not result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that it does not have adequate capacity to serve the projects' demand in addition to existing commitments. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.15-9: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.15-9**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a). | LS |
| 3.15-10: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other development, would not result in the relocation or construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of which would cause significant environmental effects. | PS | **Mitigation Measure 3.15-10**<br>Implement Mitigation Measure 3.9-1(a). | LS |
| 3.15-11: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, and would not otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-12: Implementation of the Proposed Project would not conflict with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to management and reduction of solid waste. | LS | None required. | NA |
| 3.15-13: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other development, would generate solid waste that, on a cumulative basis, would not be in excess of State or local standards and would not exceed the capacity of local infrastructure. The Proposed Project would not otherwise cumulatively impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goal. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.

**TABLE S-2**
**SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

| Impact | Significance Before Mitigation | Mitigation Measure | Significance After Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3.15-14: Implementation of the Proposed Project, in combination with other development, would not conflict with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to management and reduction of solid waste. | LS | None required. | NA |

LS = less than significant; PS = potentially significant; S = Significant; SU = significant and unavoidable; NI = no impact; NA = not applicable.