Message

From: Brian Boxer [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4F8C4AB743D4D5194AA8B3D8C519C29-BRIAN BOXER]

Sent: 8/13/2019 2:54:18 PM

To: Whit Manley [WManley@rmmenvirolaw.com]; Tiffany Wright [twright@rmmenvirolaw.com]

Subject: Confidential Attorney Client Communication: IBEC Follow UP Noise Question

Attachments: RE: Question

Whit/Tiffany,

Following up our discussion yesterday about weekend post event traffic noise, it turns out that F&P has a little info on traffic noise (see attached email). There were some very limited counts done at the outset of the project, which informed the decision to use weekday post-event rather than weekend post-event. They show the relative traffic level on certain streets (I am trying to find out the count location) on Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday. While this is very limited data, it is some data. In talking with Olivia Chan, we could, if we wanted, use the overall decrease between Friday (our worst-case weekday post event condition for traffic) and Sunday (the worst-case weekend post-event condition for noise), and apply a percentage reduction to the weekday post-event background, and then create a weekend post-event background, and run the noise analysis on top of that. It would be rough, but something. I am trying to find out what it would take to do this (cost/time), but conceptually would you think that we should do that rather than just doing a qualitative explanation?

Please let me know your thoughts.

Thanks.

В

Brian D. Boxer, AICP Senior Vice President Northern California Regional Director

ESA | Environmental Science Associates Celebrating 50 Years of Work that Matters!

2600 Capitol Ave, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95816

D: 916.231.1270 | C: 916.761.2288 | O: 916.564.4500

bboxer@esassoc.com | esassoc.com

Follow us on Linkedin | Facebook | Twitter | Instagram | Vimeo