

## Margarita Cruz

---

**From:** Margarita Cruz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 06, 2017 3:16 PM  
**To:** Dee Wickramarachchi  
**Subject:** 09-14-14 Agency properties-Agency.xls  
**Attachments:** 09-14-14 Agency properties-Agency.xls

Hello Dee,

A list I found shows that Tax Increment was used as the match for some of the properties highlighted.

All of the Highlighted properties were represented as Agency owned parcels. In some cases the grant deed is to the Agency and not the city, in other cases the condemnation action was completed by the Agency and not the city.

Consequently these may have been erroneous tagged as Agency properties when they should have been City owned properties.

Because of litigation we are in, we need to know if any tax increment was used to fund the purchase of these properties and in what percentage. Are there any that are 100% tax increment.

Also we need to know if any were sold to the city at any time. I don't have records of this but Royce thinks that may have occurred.

The answers to these questions are very important to the Clippers project.

Margarita